

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vigninia 22313-1450
www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/780,489	02/09/2001	Alexander I. Kalina	99019/02UTL	9896
23873	7590 09/26/2003	•		
ROBERT W STROZIER, P.L.L.C			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 429 BELLAIRE,	X 77402-0429		LE, HOA VAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	
	•		DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.•							
		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary		09/780,489	KALINA, ALEXANDER I.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ḥoa V. Le	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 01 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	l.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗀	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) 🔲 🛭	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/780,489 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

This application is before the examiner for consideration.

A. In view of the complexity of the claims as set up this Office action is made.

B. Claims 1-20 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising

many possible stopping sulfidation agents in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121

to elect a single disclosed species for an initiation of a search, even though this requirement is

traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

C. In (1) (i) a material claim a chemical ingredient is controlled for a patentability of the

claimed invention. (ii) Any method embodiment is given secondary or no value but would be

given full value in a method claim only.

(2) (i) a method claim a processing step is controlled for a patentability of the claimed

invention. (ii) Any material embodiment is given secondary or no value but would be given full

value in a material claim only.

D. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: Application/Control Number: 09/780,489

- I. The groups of independent claims ((1)), ((2)), (dependent claims 5-13 with respect to a processing step embodiment of a method only) and ((14-20)) (with the first independent claim 1 as the main invention are not considered to be patentably different or distinct. Therefore, no separate consideration or search.

  Accordingly, no restriction among them is made. Should applicant show or urge otherwise in the next response to this Office action in order for it to be considered timely, a restriction will be made for the record as shown or urged), drawn to a method, classified in class 422, subclass 7.
- II. Claims 3 and (5-13 with respect to a material embodiment only), drawn to a metal surface, classified in class 428, subclass 457.
- III. Claims 4 and (5-13 with respect to a material embodiment of a structure of an apparatus only), drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 306.

The inventions of Group II and Group III are all related to the processes but have the patentably different and distinct embodiments and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants and have no evidence of the record that they are not required the separate consideration and search since they are the obvious variants because the prior art being applied to one of them would be sufficient against all inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

The method claimed invention of Group I and the material claimed inventions of Groups (II and III) have the patentably different and distinct embodiments and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants and have no evidence of the record that they are not required the separate consideration and search since they are the obvious variants because the prior art being applied to one of them would be sufficient against all inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants and have no evidence of the record that are not required the separate consideration and search since they are the obvious variants because the prior art being applied to one of them would be sufficient against all inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

E. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/780,489

Art Unit: 1752

F. Other issues have not been considered until a proper election is made and resolved.

G. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 703-308-2295. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM-5:00PM, M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Janet Baxter can be reached on 703-308-2303. The fax phone numbers of the

examiner is 703-746-7172. Since there is a newly electronic filing procedure for all initial

communicating papers and all responses to an Office action, the examiner fax phone number is

not for use to receive any fax in response to an Office action. Applicant is requested and required

to send all initial communicating papers and all response to Office action to a central paper or

fax receiving center for an electronic scanning procedure.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Hoa V. Le

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1752

HVL

25 September 2003

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hoa Van Le